

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,079	DIAZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matt Urick	2113	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to 8/4/06.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1 and 3-21.
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____.
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Colin Wright on 10/26/06.

In amended claim 12, submitted 8/04/06, change the term "computer-readable media" to "computer storage media"

In amended claim 20, submitted 8/04/06, change the term "computer-readable media" to "computer storage media"

MTZ

Reasons for Allowance

Claim 1, as amended on 8/4/06, contains the limitation:

“a hardware protected region storing a portion of a computer basic input/output system comprising: a first uncompressed computer program operative to uncompress the compressed computer program”

The closest available art disclosed by Wang in figure 1 and 2 discloses a decompress module which decompresses part of a BIOS program as claimed in claim 1. However, Yang does not suggest placing decompress module 16 under hardware write protection. Boot block 12 contains elements that may be write protected (¶ 18: boot block 12 may be hardware protected). Since Wang does not explicitly state that it would be obvious to write protect the decompress module or place it in boot block 12, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would not be motivated to write protect decompress module 16.

Independent claims 6 and 14 also claim the same limitation and are found allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

mjl

Ronald J. Beausoleil
RONALD J. BEAUSOLEIL
CHIEF EXAMINER
COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY
TELECOMMUNICATIONS